UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/584,268	04/12/2007	Gaku Maruyama	062724	5644
38834 7590 11/25/2009 WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW SUITE 700			EXAMINER	
			KAHN, RACHEL	
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/25/2009	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentmail@whda.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/584,268	MARUYAMA ET AL.			
Examiner-induced interview Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	RACHEL KAHN	1796			
All Participants:	Status of Application: After	Status of Application: <u>After Final</u>			
(1) RACHEL KAHN.	(3)				
(2) <u>Bernadette McGann</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: <u>16 November 2009</u>	Time: <u>noon</u>				
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:					
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed:					
Claims discussed:					
Prior art documents discussed: Furukawa					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:			
Part III.					
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview			
/Randy Gulakowski/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796 /RACHEL KAHN/					
Examiner, Art Unit 1796					
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)			

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner indicated that upon reconsideration and discussion at length with supervisor Randy Gulakowski, the claims are not allowable as previously indicated. The current ranges in equations (3) and (4) are not patentable over examples 5 and 11 disclosed by Furukawa, as Applicant has not proven that the recited value for K would not be inherently met. The data provided by Applicant in the response filed 6/2/09 is insufficient to overome Furukawa, as the K values for these examples (represented by stars on the graph) were not experimentally measured by Applicant. Rather, Applicant has speculated that they would fall outside the recited range for K based on trends.

Examiner indicated that, absent further experimental data, amending the claim to recite an upper limit of 190 instead of 200 would overcome Furukawa. Furukawa discloses no examples under 200 ppm phosphorus, and Applicant has shown that K is not inherently met by the ranges disclosed by Furukawa (comparative examples 1 and 3).

.